



**CHARTER RIGHTS VIOLATIONS BY RCMP IN HIGH RIVER
STILL HIDDEN FROM THE PUBLIC, ALBERTA LEGISLATURE & PARLIAMENT**
Trust in the RCMP cannot be rebuilt by covering up the truth!
By Dennis R. Young – October 22, 2018

SUMMARY OF HIGH RIVER RIGHTS VIOLATIONS STILL HIDDEN

(See full details in report below)

1. Answer to Alberta Justice Minister Jonathan Denis' question to his Deputy Ministers: "***What legal authority do the police rely upon to forcibly enter private property in the flood stricken area?***" Alberta Crown Counsel Paper: "*The Local State of Emergency-Mandatory Evacuation Order Police Officer Authorities*" – Withheld by both Alberta Justice and the RCMP.
2. 2,840 pages of RCMP records of High River legal questions submitted to the Federal Department of Justice and Attorney General – Withheld by the RCMP since requested in November of 2016.
3. All High River 'legal authorities questions' asked of the Federal Department of Justice – Withheld by the Federal Department of Justice and Attorney General.
4. Why didn't the charter didn't protect High River residents? – 885 pages withheld by the Federal Department of Justice and Attorney General.
5. High River 'Briefing Note to the Minister' & 'Talking Points' - Withheld by the Federal Department of Justice and Attorney General.
6. What were the 'hard decisions' made by the RCMP in High River? On [September 5, 2013 Staff Sgt. Ian Shardlow told Danielle Smith's Town Hall](#): "*What I'm suggesting to you though is that the High River members aren't the ones that made the hard decisions, whether they're born out to be correct or not. Rather than leave them in a position where they may have to police the community afterward, right, in an unhealthy environment, every member that comes back to work in High River didn't work from the 24th of June into a significant period into July. None of them made the hard decisions, none of them opened your doors, kicked your doors, smashed your doors, none of them searched your homes.*"

WHAT HAPPENS WHEN CHARTER RIGHTS ARE VIOLATED ON A GRAND SCALE? NOTHING!

On October 1, 2015 the [Calgary Herald reported](#): "The *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* was chosen as the most important national symbol with 93 per cent support in a survey on Canadian identity published Thursday by the national statistics agency. In the same StatsCan survey, support for the Royal Canadian Mounted Police came in at 87 per cent. But when the Charter is violated by the police and the military (as it was in High River, Alberta following the flooding in June of 2013), [why have the RCMP, politicians of all stripes at all levels, most of the mainstream media, and civil liberties organizations, so far, failed the support a full investigation of what happened in High River, who ordered the door-kicking spree, the unwarranted searches of homes, seizure of private property and why?](#) Why are thousands of pages of rights violations documents being kept hidden from the public under provisions of the *Federal Access to Information Act* and the *Alberta Freedom of Information Act*? **Detailed documentation of the government's withholding of these rights violations documents is provided below.**

A MAN'S HOME IS HIS CASTLE – BUT NOT IN HIGH RIVER IN JUNE OF 2013

[On February 12, 2015, the Civilian Review and Complaints Commission for the RCMP](#) released their long-awaited report of their investigation of the RCMP's actions during and following the emergency flooding in the Town of High River, Alberta between June 20, 2013 to July 13, 2013. [The Commission's terms of reference narrowed their examination](#) to the seizure of 609 firearms from 112 homes and this limited investigative process justified and exonerated the RCMP's forced entries into "more than 754 homes" and their unwarranted search of 4,666 homes. For example, the Commission's report failed to report damage complaints filed against the RCMP by 2,210 High River home owners resulting in the [Alberta Government issuing 1,580 cheques for damage claims in the amount of \\$2.45 million wasn't even reported by the Commission](#) for Public Complaints Against the RCMP (as it was originally called).

The RCMP Complaint Commission's report starts with such lofty aims and ambitions by quoting British statesman William Pitt from his speech to the British House of Commons in 1763: ***"The poorest man may in his cottage bid defiance to all the forces of the Crown. It may be frail, its roof may shake; the wind may blow through it; the storms may enter, the rain may enter, but the King of England cannot enter; all his forces dare not cross the threshold of the ruined tenement."*** Sadly, the Commissioner's report documented what we already knew: how the Queen's forces, 273 RCMP officers (with the help of 330 Canadian Armed Forces personnel), did cross the thresholds of High River homes, by kicking in hundreds and hundreds of doors, searching homes and seizing private property all without legal justification or warrants. The report tries to justify the RCMP's actions in High River, [overlooking the Alberta Emergency Management Act's requirements for the delegation of authority](#) for unwarranted searches of buildings [\(which was clearly not followed\)](#), omitting or distorting important details, contradicting past public statements and documents; and, holding no one to account for systematic, organized egregious violations of the *Charter of Rights and Freedoms*, the *Canadian Bill of Rights*, and the *Alberta Bill of Rights*.

[This is a sad, sad story of police, bureaucratic and ministerial misconduct and cover-up that belongs in the third world - not in Canada.](#) The entire story needs to be dragged out into the sunlight and those who were responsible for authorizing these Charter violations need to be held accountable and an apology made to the people of High River. We need an independent public inquiry if we are to have any hope of correcting this gross abuse of power and rebuild trust in the RCMP before the next State of Local Emergency is declared. [Two thousand one hundred and ninety-five petitioners have called on the Premier of Alberta to call a judicial inquiry](#) to make the public aware of the entire story as well to hold those responsible for these Charter rights violations fully accountable for their actions. Two Premiers of Alberta under the Progressive Conservative and NDP governments have so far chosen to ignore these petitioners. Let's hope the next Premier of Alberta has the courage to do the right thing. [Trust is still broken in High River as shown in the four telephone polls of High River residents taken between 2014 and 2016.](#) Not only were these telephone polls ignored in the investigation and report by the RCMP Complaints Commission, but unfortunately, neither the Alberta Government nor the Federal Government have even taken the simple step to commission a scientific poll of High River residents to verify the results of these telephone polls.

JUSTICE MINISTER ASKED RCMP FOR "LEGAL AUTHORITY" TO KICK IN HIGH RIVER DOORS

Another fact not reported by The Commission for Public Complaints Against the RCMP was that on June 25, 2013, [Alberta Minister of Justice Jonathan Denis asked his Deputy Minister: "What legal authority do the police rely on to forcibly enter private property in the flood stricken area?"](#)

In the same e-mail exchange noted above, RCMP Assistant Commissioner Marianne Ryan promised to obtain a Crown Counsel paper with an answer for the Minister of Justice the very next day. To this day, the contents of this Crown Counsel legal authorities paper have been kept secret from the residents of High River, the

media, the Alberta Legislature and Parliament. **For the longest time, Alberta Justice even denied the existence of the Crown counsel paper in response to my FOIP requests.**

SUMMARY OF ATTEMPTS TO GET HIGH RIVER FORCED ENTRY 'LEGAL AUTHORITIES PAPER'

Note: The chronological account of the processing of each of these ATIP and FOIP requests is attached.

SEPTEMBER 2, 2014 – FOIP REQUEST 005 TO ALBERTA JUSTICE FILE: 2014-G-0335: Item "(3) Copies of all correspondence, letters, e-mails, reports, advice, legal opinions, sent to the Minister and copies of the replies sent by the Minister or on the Minister's behalf."

- [January 15, 2017 – Filed Missing Records Complaint with the Alberta Information Commissioner](#)
- August 30, 2018 – Letter from Alberta Information Commissioner extending completion date for report on investigation of my complaint to December 13, 2018

AUGUST 17, 2015 - FOIP REQUEST 010 - ALBERTA JUSTICE FILE: 2016-G-0268: "For the period from June 25, 2013 to July 31, 2013, please provide copies of all follow-up correspondence, communications and documentation concerning the **"legal authority"** (referred to in the above-mentioned e-mails) that was sent to, from and between Asst. Commissioner Ryan to Bill Sweeney and to, from and between Bill Sweeney, Tim Grant and Minister Jonathan Denis including a copy of the **"Crown counsel paper"** and a copy of the information that was given to the RCMP **"folks speaking to the media."**

- [December 27, 2016 – Filed Non-Responsive Records Complaint with Alberta Information Commissioner](#)
- [October 25, 2017 – Request for Inquiry submitted to Alberta Information Commissioner referring](#) to my file 018 which they refused to issue the legal authorities paper requested citing 'privileged information' (see below)
- October 16, 2018 – Received e-mail from the Registrar of Inquiries in the Office of Alberta Information Commissioner apologizing for the delay in issuing the Notice of Inquiry.

JUNE 27, 2017 – FOIP REQUEST 018 - ALBERTA JUSTICE FILE: 2017-G-0570: "I have now learned that the Crown counsel paper being referred to above and in the attached e-mail was entitled: "The Local State of Emergency-Mandatory Evacuation Order Police Officer Authorities" written by Peter Mackenzie. Please provide me with a copy of this report and any follow-up records referring to this report including: correspondence, e-mails, reports, presentations, meeting minutes, recommendations, etc, etc."

- August 3, 2017 – Received a response dated July 28, 2017 advising that the four pages were denied because it was deemed "privileged information".
- [AUGUST 29, 2017 – Filed complaint with the Alberta Information Commissioner](#)
- October 6, 2017 - Jill Clayton, Alberta Information and Privacy Commissioner to the Honorable Kathleen Ganley – Request for Records at Issue: [The Justice and Solicitor General is to send a redacted and unredacted copy of the records at issue to our Calgary Office on or before November 3, 2017.](#)
- February 9, 2018 – Information Commissioner extended deadline to complete their review to October 24, 2018 – Investigator: Carmen Mann, Senior Information and Privacy Manager

AUGUST 19, 2014 ATIP REQUEST 084 - RCMP FILE: A-2014-05939: "[Please provide \(1\) A copy of the 'legal authorities . . . paper from Crown counsel' referred to in the attached e-mail](#) by RCMP Asst. Commissioner Marianne Ryan that was prepared 'to give out to our folks speaking to the media for their reference and confidence in speaking to this issue to the public'; (2) Copies of the materials provided to RCMP

officers speaking to the media regarding the legal authorities for the forced entries and firearms seizures in High River; and, (3) Copies of all the follow-up communications responding to Bill Sweeney's question: **'What legal authority do the police rely upon to forcibly enter private property in the flood stricken area?'**"

[May 13, 2015 – Filed a complaint with the Office of the Information Commissioner of Canada](#) disputing the RCMP exempting the documents in its entirety due to court proceedings citing section 16(1)(a)(ii) of the *Access to Information Act*. **(16 (1))** The head of a government institution may refuse to disclose any record requested under this Act that contains **(a)** information obtained or prepared by any government institution, or part of any government institution, that is an investigative body specified in the regulations in the course of lawful investigations pertaining to **(ii)** the enforcement of any law of Canada or a province]

[April 12, 2017 – Filed a follow-up complaint based on the RCMP release of 4-pages of Media Lines](#)

Once again, the RCMP refused to release the Crown Counsel legal authorities paper citing *Access to Information Act* section 16(1)(a)(ii) [enforcement of any law of Canada or a province]. Excerpt from my letter: "The RCMP High River door-kicking spree was not a 'law enforcement' operation it was a 'search and rescue' mission. It didn't become a 'law enforcement operation' until the RCMP started unlawfully entering High River homes."

[September 14, 2017 Filed another missing records complaint:](#) Excerpt from my letter: "What does the Alberta Crown counsel legal authorities paper say that will be so embarrassing or legally damaging to the RCMP that they have been going to such lengths over the last three years to keep it a state secret? What are they hiding, who are they protecting and why?"

October 4, 2018 – Received an e-mail from the Investigator at the Office of the Information Commissioner of Canada advising: *The text of the legal authorities paper is being withheld under both paragraph 13(1)(c) (information received in confidence from the government of a province), and section 23 (solicitor-client privilege). My office intends to accept the exemption under 13(1)(c), without passing judgment on whether section 23 also applies. I replied asking for clarification: The RCMP have never used these two exemptions before and if he has proof that the paper was received in confidence?*

[October 18, 2018 – "LOCAL STATE OF EMERGENCY - MANDATORY EVACUATION: ORDER POLICE OFFICER AUTHORITIES."](#) Received 202-page response exempting the entire Alberta Crown Counsel legal authorities paper citing *under both paragraph 13(1)(c) (information received in confidence from the government of a province), and section 23 (solicitor-client privilege).*

OTHER HIGH RIVER RIGHTS VIOLATIONS DOCUMENTS WITHHELD BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

NOVEMBER 7, 2016 – ATIP REQUEST 137 – RCMP FILE A-2016-09452: HIGH RIVER LEGAL QUESTIONS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND ATTORNEY GENERAL

- March 18, 2017 – [Filed Delay Complaint with the Office of the Information Commissioner of Canada](#)
- January 23, 2018 – Investigator for the Office of the Information Commissioner e-mailed an update advising the **RCMP response consists of 2,840 pages of records**. Quote: "Our office has proposed to meet with the RCMP to develop a spreadsheet so that we will have more info to work with and can hopefully give you a proposed response date soon."

FEBRUARY 5, 2017 - ATIP REQUEST 142 – JUSTICE FILE A-2017-01882: WHY DIDN'T THE CHARTER PROTECT HIGH RIVER RESIDENTS?

- November 22, 2017 – [Received 117 of 972 pages](#) – **885 pages withheld (669 pages withheld citing solicitor-client privilege)**
- December 3, 2017 - [Complaint filed with Office of the Information Commissioner](#)

**MAY 20, 2017 - ATIP REQUEST FILE 170 – JUSTICE FILE: A-2017-00340:
HIGH RIVER ‘LEGAL AUTHORITIES QUESTIONS’ ASKED OF JUSTICE**

- November 1, 2017 – Received Justice response dated October 25, 2017 – Admit they received questions concerning rights violations in High River but “all information is exempted from release by virtue of solicitor-client privilege”
- November 3, 2017 – [Filed Complaint with the Office of the Information Commissioner](#)

**JULY 7, 2017 – ATIP REQUEST FILE 175 – JUSTICE FILE A-2017-00560:
HIGH RIVER ‘BRIEFING NOTE’ & ‘TALKING POINTS’**

- October 4, 2017 – Received a **completely blanked out response** dated Sept 27, 2017
- October 8, 2017 – [Complaint filed with Office of the Information Commissioner](#)
- October 19, 2018 – [Information Commissioner rules my complaint is ‘not well founded’](#)

NO WHERE ELSE TO TURN TO UNCOVER THE WHOLE TRUTH?

HIGH RIVER RIGHTS VIOLATIONS COMPLAINT RULED ‘INADMISSABLE’ BY U.N. HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSIONER - [United Nations response dated October 11, 2018 - Reasons 1 and 6 given for Ruling:](#)

1. *Your communication does not address consistent patterns of gross and reliably attested violations of human rights and fundamental freedoms.*
6. *Domestic judicial/administrative remedies do not appear to have been exhausted, and it has not been substantiated that the application of domestic remedies would be unreasonably prolonged or that remedies would be otherwise ineffective.*

MY COMMENT: The U.N. Human Rights Council has just put the onus back on the Federal and Alberta governments to fully investigate and report on the High River Charter rights violations. Both the Harper and the Trudeau governments must assume responsibility for the cover-up of what really happened and why, identifying who is responsible for authorizing the door-kicking spree, unlawful entries and unwarranted search of homes, holding those persons accountable for their actions, fixing the policies and legislation that allowed it to happen and issuing an abject apology to the residents of High River. If the MLAs and MPs continue to sit in their cone of silence (while most of the mainstream media looks the other way), then we’ll just have to wait for the reports of all the investigations and inquiries currently underway by the Alberta Information Commissioner.

For more information, questions or comment:

Dennis R. Young
Honourary Life Member of the CSSA and the NFA
Member of the Calgary RCMP Veterans Association
E-Mail: dennisryoung@telus.net
Website: www.dennisryoung.ca

CHRONOLOGY OF ALBERTA GOVERNMENT FOIP HIGH RIVER RIGHTS VIOLATIONS COMPLAINTS

MY FILE: 005 - JUSTICE - REPORTS, MEETING MINUTES, ETC

September 2, 2014 - JUSTICE FILE: 2014-G-0335

Sept 18, 2014 - Request for processing fee of \$155.25

September 25, 2014 - Cheque for \$155.25 sent

Sept 29, 2014 - Receipt for \$155.25 and clarification of my request

August 18, 2015 - Sent e-mail for an update

August 18, 2015 - Acting FOIP Manager Sindy Chang advises via e-mail that they are still processing my request and that they are waiving "any and all fees"

October 28, 2015 – Delay Complaint filed on proper forms

January 4, 2016 – Information Commissioner gave Justice until April 29, 2016 to provide a response.

March 1, 2016 - Information Commissioner ordered Justice to provide the documents

May 9, 2016 – Agreed to a six-month extension to deadline

New date for completion = Jan 3, 2017

November 3, 2016 – Maria called to say she is going to miss her Nov 2nd release date by two or three weeks. I told her to update me in a couple of weeks. She also promised that she believed her release would answer my FOIP request 010

November 28, 2016 – Received a big pile of records (over 400 pages) and a duplicate of pages sent to me in response to my FOIP request 002.

November 30, 2016 – Sent e-mail asking for “waivers”, “Type 2 warrant”, meeting minutes and reports.

JAN 15, 2017 - FILED COMPLAINT: No meeting minutes, reports, legal opinions were provided.

March 13, 2017 – Information Commissioner appoints Carmen Mann to investigate

June 21, 2017 – Info Commissioner Extended completion date to January 15, 2018

January 8, 2018 – Info Commissioner extended completion date to July 16, 2018

July 4, 2018 – Info Commissioner letter extending completion date too September 14, 2018

Aug 30, 2018 – Info Commissioner letter extending date for completion to Dec 13, 2018

MY FILE: 010 - JUSTICE - HIGH RIVER WARRANTLESS SEARCH AND SEIZURE

Filed: August 17, 2015 - ALBERTA JUSTICE FILE: 2016-G-0268

Follow-up Request to my FOIP File 002

November 3, 2016 – Maria called to advise that her release in response to my FOIP request 005 would also respond to this request.

November 30, 2016 – Sent an e-mail asking Marie to remove “hold” on this file and process records requested.

December 6, 2016 – NO RECORDS RESPONSE

COMPLAINT FILED: December 27, 2016

June 30, 2017 – Letter from Alberta Information Commissioner extending the deadline for completion of their investigation to November 1, 2017

July 23, 2017 – Letter to Alberta Info Commissioner Investigator Sinclair Watson advising him of the title and author of the legal authorities paper.

August 2, 2017 – Info Comm letter to Alberta Justice and me advising of review process started.

October 18, 2017 – Letter from Alberta Info Comm. Saying Justice completed an adequate search and rejecting my claims.

October 25, 2017 – Request for Inquiry submitted to Alberta Information Commissioner referring to my file 018 which they refused to issue the legal authorities paper requested citing solicitor-client privilege.

April 19, 2018 – Letter from the Alberta Information Commissioner advising that she has agreed to hold an inquiry.

October 16, 2018 – Received e-mail from the Registrar of Inquiries in the Office of Alberta Information Commissioner apologizing for the delay in issuing the Notice of Inquiry.

MY FILE: 018 - JUSTICE - HIGH RIVER “LEGAL AUTHORITIES” CROWN COUNSEL PAPER

Filed: June 27, 2017 - ALBERTA JUSTICE FILE: 2017-G-0570

July 7, 2017 – Acknowledgement received – Christine Batach, FOIP Advisor

August 3, 2017 – Received a response dated July 28, 2017 advising that the four pages were denied because it was deemed “Privileged information”.

AUGUST 29, 2017 – FILED COMPLAINT WITH ALBERTA INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

October 6, 2017 – Albert ALBERTA JUSTICE MINISTER REQUESTED TO SEND HIGH RIVER ‘LEGAL AUTHORITIES’ PAPER – OCTOBER 6, 2017 - Jill Clayton, Alberta Information and Privacy Commissioner to the Honorable Kathleen Ganley - [REQUEST FOR RECORDS AT ISSUE](#): *The Justice and Solicitor General is to send a redacted and unredacted copy of the records at issue to our Calgary Office on or before November 3, 2017.*

February 9, 2018 – Information Commissioner extended deadline to complete their review to October 24, 2018 – Investigator: Carmen Mann, Senior Information and Privacy Manager

CHRONOLOGY OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ATIP HIGH RIVER RIGHTS VIOLATIONS COMPLAINTS

MY FILE 084 - RCMP - HIGH RIVER CROWN COUNSEL PAPER ON "LEGAL AUTHORITIES"

Filed August 19, 2014 - RCMP FILE: A-2014-05939

Sept 29, 2014 - Letter advising of 60-day extension imposed

May 01, 2014 - Exempted in its entirety due to court proceedings

COMPLAINT FILED - May 13, 2015

February 21, 2017 – Marc says they’re going to release the media lines.

I agreed to make a decision on discontinuing after I see what the media lines say

Sent e- mail to Marc: I made a decision to get an official report from Marc with his findings. He says I won’t get the Crown counsel paper even if we take them to a sec. 41 court hearing.

April 12, 2017 – Filed a follow-up complaint based on the release of 4-pages of Media Lines.

August 24, 2017 – RCMP release 154 more pages.

SEPTEMBER 14, 2017 FILED ANOTHER MISSING RECORDS COMPLAINT

October 5, 2017 – Acknowledgement letter from Information Commissioner

January 18, 2018 – Ari Daigen (taking over from Marc Babineau) Still hadn’t been given my third and most recent complaint on this file.

January 22, 2018 – Ari Daigen e-mailed wanting more clarification. He obviously had not read my most recent complaint letter dated Sept 14, 2017. I sent it to him.

February 7, 2018 – Gave Ari permission via e-mail to focus on (1) legal authorities paper and (3) Sweeney & Ryan’s follow-up e-mails.

June 4, 2018 – E-Mail from Ari advising that the RCMP is going to provide additional information.

June 8, 2018 – Another e-mail from Ari wanting clarification on the records to be released and the names of the individuals involved.

October 4, 2018 – Received an e-mail from the Investigator at the Office of the Information Commissioner of Canada advising: *The text of the legal authorities paper is being withheld under both paragraph 13(1)(c) (information received in confidence from the government of a province), and section 23 (solicitor-client privilege). My office intends to accept the exemption under 13(1)(c), without passing judgment on whether section 23 also applies. I replied asking for clarification: The RCMP have never used these two exemptions before and if he has proof that the paper was received in confidence?*

[October 18, 2018 – LOCAL STATE OF EMERGENCY - MANDATORY EVACUATION: ORDER POLICE OFFICER AUTHORITIES.](#) Received 202-page response exempting the entire Alberta Crown Counsel legal authorities paper citing *under both paragraph 13(1)(c) (information received in confidence from the government of a province), and section 23 (solicitor-client privilege).*

MY FILE 137 – RCMP – HIGH RIVER LEGAL QUESTIONS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND ATTORNEY GENERAL - Filed November 7, 2016 - RCMP FILE: A-2016-09452

Acknowledgement letter dated November 18, 2016
FILED DELAY COMPLAINT – MARCH 18, 2017
March 29, 2017 – Acknowledgement letter from Info Commish
June 2, 2017 – Information Commissioner’s Office - Brigitte Martin assigned my delay complaint
October 6, 2017 – Status e-mails sent to Information Commissioner’s office
October 10, 2017 - Brigitte Martin provided e-mail update – still no disclosure date from RCMP
January 23, 2018 – Brigitte e-mailed an update on my Delay complaint advising the RCMP response consists of 2,840 pages of records. Quote: “Our office has proposed to meet with the RCMP to develop a spreadsheet so that we will have more info to work with and can hopefully give you a proposed response date soon.”
October 18, 2018 – Sent an e-mail to the Investigator asking for a progress report.

MY FILE 142 - JUSTICE– WHY THE CHARTER DIDN’T PROTECT HIGH RIVER RESIDENTS - Filed February 5, 2017 - JUSTICE FILE: A-2017-01882 / CS

March 15, 2017 – 90-day extension letter – Cory Spears, ATIP Analyst.
DELAY COMPLAINT FILED – September 9, 2017
September 28, 2017 – Acknowledgment letter from Information Commissioner
October 18, 2017 – E-Mail from Brigitte Martin assigned to investigate
November 7, 2017 – Brigitte e-mailed today saying Justice is sending a response
November 22, 2017 – Received 117 of 972 pages – 669 pages withheld citing solicitor client privilege.
COMPLAINT FILED – DECEMBER 3, 2017
December 18, 2017 – Acknowledgement letter from Info Commissioner

MY FILE 170 - JUSTICE– HIGH RIVER ‘LEGAL AUTHORITIES QUESTIONS’ ASKED OF JUSTICE - Filed May 20, 2017 - JUSTICE FILE: A-2017-00340

July 14, 2017 – See e-mail exchange with Abir Khalil. ATIP at Justice. New ATIP advisor being assigned.
August 11, 2017? - Anne Richardson, ATIP Advisor called. 613-907-3787. We discussed the background information related to my request
DELAY COMPLAINT FILED – September 9, 2017
September 28, 2017 – Acknowledgment letter from Information Commissioner
October 18, 2017 – E-Mail from Brigitte Martin assigned to investigate
October 26, 2017 – Brigitte says Justice is sending a response
November 1, 2017 – Received Justice response dated October 25, 2017 – Admit they received questions concerning rights violations in High River but « all information is exempted from release by virtue of solicitor-client privilege »
COMPLAINT FILED – NOVEMBER 3, 2017
November 27, 2017 – Acknowledgement letter from Information Commissioner

**MY FILE 175 – JUSTICE – HIGH RIVER ‘BRIEFING NOTE’ & ‘TALKING POINTS’
Filed July 7, 2017 – JUSTICE FILE: A-2017-00560**

Follow-up request from Justice response to file A-2016-01141 / NR that mentioned ‘briefing note’ and ‘talking points’
DELAY COMPLAINT FILED SEPT 9, 2017

October 4, 2017 – COMPLETELY BLANKED OUT RESPONSE dated Sept 27, 2017
COMPLAINT FILED – OCTOBER 8, 2017

October 26, 2017 – Acknowledgement letter from Information Commissioner received

December 13, 2017 – Investigator *Katarina Kovačević* e-mailed advising she is working on my complaint

October 19, 2018 – [Information Commissioner rules my complaint is 'not well founded'](#)